NSF Proposal Submissions

(including supplemental requests)

Lynnette Madsen, DMR Sept. 2010

Supplemental Requests

- Should be <u>unanticipated</u>; otherwise include in original proposal (to strengthen it, particularly the broader impacts)
- All requests to be made in Jan./Feb. (unless exceptional circumstances, e.g., time sensitivity)
 - July-Sept.: CAREER proposals sent for review
 - Sept.-Dec.: new proposals are sent for review
 - Jan.-Feb.: supplemental requests to CER
 - Mar.-Apr.: recommendations
 - May-Aug.: generally \$0 available
- Must be compliant or will be returned without review
- Instructions in Grant Proposal Guide (GPG)

vnnette Madsen, DMR

2

Characteristics of Supplements

- Currently <u>abstract is not modified</u>, so not reflected on website
- International Supplements: often funded in part by our Office of International Science and Engineering (OISE)
- GOALI: often funded in part by MPS' Office of Multidisciplinary Activities (OMA) to promote collaboration with industry
- CER: Not supported through supplemental funding:
 - REU students
- <u>Re-budgeting</u> to accommodate different priorities is Ok, often approval is not required by NSF

Lynnette Madsen, DMR

3

Examples from FY10 (8 in total)

- 2 ACI Fellowships (recognition award)
- 1 MIPR Army Office; Army Office supplied funds
- 1 Small supplement to work with national laboratory; Sandia co-funded
- 3 GOALI supplements including one emergency (one-year extension with extenuating circumstances)
- 1 Other emergency: equipment failure

Submitting New & Renewal Proposals

- <u>Window: opens in Sept.</u>, submit early to allow the most time for review, an early decision, compliance issues, etc.
- Consider 4 years, unless a shorter duration makes more sense
- <u>Include all broader impacts upfront</u>, rather than planning on a supplemental request
- Equipment <\$100K may be included, it should be well justified
- New and Renewal proposals are treated the same
- Pick your best project, team, etc.
- Options: unsolicited, GOALI, FRG, RUI, GOALI/FRG

Cove	er Page		TO THE NATIO	NAI SCIENCE	ECHNDATION
PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTSQUICTATION NO. (LOSING DATE) in an inquirea in a grapea announcementalistate with 15' to 3' NSF 09-39 FOR CONSIDERATION BY INSF ORGANIZATION UNITS) (Indian the most specific artisme, i.e. grapea, delate, etc.)					FOR NSF USE ONLY NSF PROPOSAL NUMBER 1006640
DMR - CERAN					
DATE RECEIVED 11/02/2009	NUMBER OF COPIE	03070000 DAIR	NED FUND CODE	094878394	unbering System) FILE LOCATION
956006145		SHOW PREVIOUS AWAR DIA RENEWAL DIAN ACCOMPLISHMEN	IT-BASED PENEWAL	AGENCY? YES	REING SUBMITTED TO ANOTHER FEDERAL NO 82 F YES, LIST ACRONYM(S)
NAME OF CRIGANIZATION TO WHICH AWARD SHOULD BE MADE University of California-Santa Barbara AWARDEE ORGANIZATION CODE (F KNOWN) 6013301600			ADORESS OF ANALOGE ORGANIZATION, INCLUDING 9 DIGIT 25F CODE Office of Research Rm 3:27 Cheadle Hall SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106-2050		
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION, IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE			ADDRESS OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION, IF DIFFERENT, INCLUDING 9 DIGIT ZIP CODE		
	EZATION CODE (F KNOWN)				
PERFORMING ORGAN) SMALL BUSINESS	MINORITY	BUSINESS	THIS IS A PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL CHECK HERE
	ZATION (Check All That Apply nilions)	□ FOR-PROFIT ORG			IIN FILM PEROVSKITES

COVER PAGE CHECK APPROPRIATE BOXES IF THIS PROPOSE, INCLUDES ANY OF THE TEST THAN ARRANGE AND THE STREET AND T

Regulations give grantee institutions responsibility for setting up "Institutional Review Boards" (IRBs) to review research protocols & designs to ensure protection of rights of human subjects. The fundamental principle is that people should not (in most cases) be involved in research without their informed consent, & that subjects should not incur increased risk of harm from their research involvement, beyond the normal risks inherent in everyday life.

http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/human.jsp

Compliance

- · Automatic check
- Grant Proposal Guide (GPG) very restrictive about changes to proposals after a deadline.

COMMON ISSUES:

- Failure to address both merit review criteria separately in Project Summary
- Omitting Results from Proof SF Support (within 5 years) for PI and any co-PIs in proceed format;
- Omission of journal ar ale thes from References Cited;
- Incomplete information in Current & Pending Support;

Compliance (cont'd)

- Incomplete Biographical Sketches (i.e., failure to include the list collaborators within 4 years, co-editors within 2 years, graduate advisors, postdoctoral sponsors, postdoctoral scholar within 5 pears, and all prior graduate students)
- Each proposal that request funding to support postdoctoral researches must include a mentoring plan (not exceeding one age) in Suplementary Documentation section. The mentoring plan will be evaluated during the merit review process, under the Broader Impacts criterion.
- Data Management Plans needed in 2011+

Reviewer Suggestions

- Suggest 3 to 5 reviewer names with each proposal
- From ceramics, glasses & inorganic carbon-based materials communities
- At arms length: no former students, supervisors or postdoctoral fellows; no recent collaborators (from the past 4 years); no relatives, etc
- Provide full names, e-mail addresses (to facilitate electronic review), affiliation, & their key areas of expertise
- Industrial and international reviewers and those from underrepresented groups are particularly welcome.
- Used to establish a broader database for reviewers in CER

Where to submit

See Ceramics homepage at NSF for RELATED PROGRAMS (at NSF) & RELATED URLS (other agencies) Hearinsk under Settender, Consecuente Arthur, an historials Research between Millerministation and Nation Contentional Nation (1997).

Bernaldische Arthur Contentional National Secuence (1997).

Bernaldische Arthur Contentional National National

Materials World Network (MWN)

- Cooperative Activity in Materials Research between US Investigators & their Counterparts Abroad, Solicitation 10-588
- Questions from US investigators should be directed to NSF: Carmen Huber chuber@nsf.gov (703) 292-4939
- Full Proposal Deadline Date: Nov. 10, 2010
- An investigator may serve as PI or Co-PI in either

 (a) a proposal submitted in response to MWN or,
 (b) an unsolicited proposal submitted to DMR within FY2011 submission window,
 but not both. Limitation does not apply to networks of investigators & their associated activities

Descriptive Resources

- http://www.nsf.gov/mps/dmr/bios/lmadsen.jsp
 - J.A. DeAro & L.D. Madsen, "Transforming Universities and Colleges in the United States with Federal Government Support", (2009)
 - L.D. Madsen, "NSF recognizes 3 Asst. Profs. with 2009 CAREER Awards in Ceramics", (2009)
 - S. Freiman, L.D. Madsen & J.W. McCauley, "Advances in Ceramics through Government-Supported Research", (2009)
 - L.D. Madsen & G.X. Tessema, "The Next Generation: Education & Broadening Participation in Science & Engineering", (2009)
 - L.D. Madsen, "Ceramic Collaborations around the World", (2009)

Descriptive Resources

- L.D. Madsen, "Basic Ceramics Research and the NSF", (2008)
- L.D. Madsen & S. Freiman, "Grand Challenges for Ceramics & Related Areas and the Critical Enablers", (2008)
- L.D. Madsen, "A Guide to NSF Success", (2007)
- L.D. Madsen, "Ceramics at NSF Trends & Opportunities", (2007)
- L.D. Madsen, "How New Materials Figure in the Dow", (2005)
- L.D. Madsen, "Increasing the Participation & Advancement of Women in Academic Science and Engineering Careers in the USA" (2002)



Common Mistakes

- Not addressing broader impacts; it comes across as an afterthought
- Not integrating education with the research
- Too many ideas; a lack of focus (young investigators)
- Renewals: failure to publish in quality journals, failure to establish themselves as leader in field and make an impact
- Ideas not described in detail. Not being specific what will YOU do?
- Mistakes in English/grammar, figures, references, etc.
- Failure to appreciate background of field (references, originality)

Common Mistakes (continued)

- Already well-funded at the NSF for similar activities, not applying to the most appropriate program
- Large grants: inappropriate budget request, forgetting under-represented groups (URG's)
- Instrumentation: not enough users planned what is the impact, justifying a replacement, justification for top-of-the-line
- Incremental rather than cutting edge what new insight is expected? Why is it important?
- Few ideas (<20%) are 'bad', but in some cases it is difficult to tell

Interactions with NSF

- Have a history of innovative & brilliant science and/or significant contribution/s in a broad sense
- Convey enthusiasm and knowledge
- Be a great reviewer / panelist
 - Volunteer
 - Respond to requests
 - Provide detailed, timely and thoughtful comments on both criteria and any additional criteria for the specific solicitation/announcement
- Questions: the www, your university's Sponsored Research Office (SRO), your colleagues, and PDs

CAREER Resources

- A Ph.D. Is Not Enough: A Guide to Survival in Science by Peter J. Feibelman; 1993
- Becoming Leaders: A Handbook for Women in Science, Engineering and Technology by F. Mary Williams & Carolyn J. Emerson; 2008 http://catalog.asme.org/books/PrintBook/Becoming_Leaders_Practical.cfm
- The Black Academic's Guide to Winning Tenure
 Without Losing Your Soul
 by Kerry Ann Rockquemore & Tracey Laszloffy; 2008
 http://www.rienner.com/title/The_Black_Academic_s_G
 uide_to_Winning_Tenure_Without_Losing_Your_Soul