A dream for many scientists, engineers and sci-fi enthusiasts is of an aerospace vehicle that can take off from an airport, fly through the atmosphere and travel to the other side of the earth at hypersonic speeds, and then return through the atmosphere to the same or another airport. Thanks to programs like DARPA’s Falcon Hypersonic Technology Vehicle 2 program (Figure 1), the dream is taking form.

Hypersonic vehicles need sharp leading edges to enable vehicle manoeuvrability during atmosphere exit and re-entry. The edges will see temperatures well in excess of 2000°C and be hit with corrosive plasmas from the atmosphere at ablative speeds. Other challenges associated with these conditions include severe oxidation, extreme heat fluxes and high mechanical stresses. Components, such as the leading edges, combustors and thermal protection systems, thus require materials that can withstand the high thermal, mechanical and shock-wave loadings that these aircraft will experience during flight. Such demanding requirements limit the field of possible materials to ultra-high-temperature ceramics. These are typically non-oxides with melting/decomposition temperatures in excess of 3000°C. Examples include borides, nitrides and carbides of Group IV-V metals in the periodic table, such as ZrB₂, HfB₂, ZrC, HfC, TaC and HfN²,³

Development of non-oxide ceramics has lagged behind that of oxides because they are rarely found in nature, need to be processed in controlled reducing atmospheres and tend to oxidize in air even at room temperature, especially when in fine powder form. As a result, the understanding of their crystal chemistry and the processing–microstructure–property relations is nowhere near that of, for example, simple oxides, silicates, phosphates and complex oxide systems. Fulfilling this dream will require a revolution in aviation with a key challenge being the vehicle thermal protection.

Refractory non-oxides protect leading edges of hypersonic vehicles during flight.

Figure 2 Microstructure of ZrB₂–5-volume-percent SiC composite.
system in which UHTCs will have a crucial role.

**Early work on UHTCs**

Bulk single-phase UHTCs for these high-temperature structural applications are limited by their poor oxidation and ablation resistance as well as poor damage tolerance. Research efforts have focused on improving the oxidation and thermomechanical properties, but such studies need to expand the boundaries of property measurement capability because the service temperatures and heat fluxes are so high. Various densification routes, including hot pressing, pressureless sintering, self-propagating high-temperature synthesis, reactive hot pressing and spark-plasma sintering, have been investigated to optimize fabrication procedures and performance of UHTCs.

Development of composite UHTC systems has focused on particulate additions, particularly of SiC at approximately 20 volume percent, because it significantly improves oxidation resistance at temperatures up to 1500°C by forming a protective amorphous, liquid borosilicate coating. There have been many previous attempts to improve the oxidation resistance of ZrB₂–HfB₂-based ceramics by, for example, increasing the viscosity of the liquid silica layer, increasing the immiscibility of different liquid phases or by developing dense ZrO₂ layers via liquid-phase sintering. In such extreme conditions, any molten liquid will be blown off, rapidly exposing the underlying layer leading to further oxidation and UHTC recession.

**UHTC development: Chemistry, processing and properties**

Initial research at Imperial College, London aimed to develop solid refractory oxide protective layers by adding rare-earth borides or oxides to a baseline ZrB₂–20-volume-percent-SiC (known as ZS20) UHTC. However, it is clear that if satisfactory thermal protection performance is to be achieved in the severe conditions encountered, innovative and step-changing approaches are needed. A collaborative work between Loughborough University and Imperial College is attempting to find some of these approaches.

The work at Imperial has focused on processing dense monolithic UHTC systems using SPS and measuring properties at increasingly high temperatures, while that at Loughborough has been aimed at incorporating UHTC powders into carbon-fiber preforms and evaluating the high-temperature oxidation performance. Current work is focused on developing composite systems by joining the two systems together with concomitant protective oxide layer formation. The work is being undertaken in collaboration with the United States Air Force Research Laboratory’s Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, whose UHTC research focuses on developing a physical model to understand the oxidation mechanisms of zirconium and hafnium diboride-based ceramics and on developing UHTC composites reinforced with silicon carbide fibers.

A series of fully dense ZrB₂–TaC-based UHTC composites has been fabricated using the SPS facility at Queen Mary University, London. Figure 2 shows a typical microstructure of a 5-volume-percent-SiC-reinforced ZrB₂ composite sintered at 1900°C, revealing a dense microstructure with no visible cracks or pores. The grey grains forming the matrix are ZrB₂, the mean grain size is less than 5-micrometers, and the grains are faceted. The dark grains are SiC and they predominantly occur as less than 1-micrometer intergranular inclusions.

HfB₂-based ceramics are more difficult to densify and must be sintered at temperatures at least 100°C higher than their ZrB₂ counterparts. Figure 3 shows SEM and TEM images of HfB₂–5-weight-percent-SiC–5-weight-percent-LaB₆ (HS20–5-weight-percent-LaB₆) composites sintered at 2000°C.

Coefficients of thermal expansion measured from room temperature to 2000°C showed that monolithic ZrB₂ had the highest CTE with a value of 7.8 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹. This was slightly higher than that of monolithic HfB₂ at 7.6 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹. SiC and all other additions investigated reduced the CTE values below the values of the baseline UHTC matrices. For all UHTC composites, however, the CTE increased from 5.5 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹ at 200°C to 7 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹ at 2000°C. The relatively large CTE values for UHTCs compared with more traditional thermal protection materials, such as the silica-based tile (CTE of 4.2 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹) used by the space shuttles, present a number of design
challenges. The large CTE makes the materials significantly less resistant to thermal shock and, hence, makes it difficult for designers, who need to account for the different CTEs of multipiece components to prevent cracking caused by constrained expansion on heating.

Thermal diffusivities (Figure 4) were measured during heating using the laser flash diffusivity technique. ZrB$_2$ and HfB$_2$ had the highest diffusivity values, approximately 40 square millimeters per second, at room temperature, while their lowest values, 10 and 15 square millimeters per second, respectively, occurred at 1900°C, the highest temperature at which measurements were undertaken. Additions of SiC and La$_2$O$_3$ decreased the thermal diffusivity by at least 10 percent. A plausible explanation for this is the formation of microcracks between grains, as occurs in many polycrystalline materials manufactured by high-temperature sintering processes, where thermal expansion mismatch between adjacent grains can lead to stresses on cooling.

Micromicrocracking is known to degrade thermal properties in ceramics, and Monteverde$^{12}$ has documented debonding between HfB$_2$ and SiC grains as well as microcracked HfB$_2$ grains in reactive hot-pressed HfB$_2$–22.1-volume-percent-SiC–5.9-volume-percent-HfC. A key observation is that while thermal conductivities of all UHTCs studied are significantly different at room temperature, they converge to similar values at high temperatures. $^{13}$ This is convenient from a design perspective because, independent of the UHTC used, all will perform in a similar manner at the working temperatures.

Figure 5 shows cross-section microstructures of ZrB$_2$, ZS20 and ZS20-LaB$_6$. In-situ oxidation-resistant, refractory coatings have been generated on various UHTCs densified using SPS and containing rare-earth additives, such as LaB$_6$, La$_2$O$_3$ and Gd$_2$O$_3$. Oxidation of ZS20-LaB$_6$ for 1 hour at 1600°C in static air led to formation of a dense surface layer, up to 250 micrometers thick, of ZrO$_2$ and rare-earth zirconates. With melting points well above 1600°C, both phases remained solid throughout the oxidation process. Conversely, the oxidized surface of ZS20 without the rare-earth additives comprised a porous ZrO$_2$ layer up to 10 micrometers thick covered by amorphous silica, which would have been liquid at 1600°C. The low oxygen permeability of liquid silica suppresses excessive oxidation of ZS20 in static air at 1600°C. However, in a hypersonic air stream this protective advantage would be lost quickly because of liquid silica removal by viscous flow. The oxygen permeabilities of RE zirconates, although not as low as liquid silica, are still substantially lower than ZrO$_2$. This, combined with the high melting point of RE zirconates, suggests that RE additions may be a useful approach to improving the oxidation resistance of UHTCs at intermediate temperatures in hypersonic air flows.

Testing methods and challenges

A large number of testing techniques are available for evaluating the high-temperature performance of UHTC materials. Laser heating/melting has been used extensively to study UHTCs and other ceramics used at extreme temperatures, including refractories and nuclear fuels. $^{14}$ Defocused laser heating in air of ZrB$_2$ and HfB$_2$-based UHTCs was conducted at TWI near Cambridge in the UK using either a Nd:YAG laser, capable of delivering a heat flux of up to approximately 20 megawatts per square meter, or a high-brightness ytterbium fiber laser with a 10-millimeter-diameter collimated beam and able to provide a heat flux up to 44 megawatts per square meter.

Photographs of HfB$_2$-based UHTC samples laser tested under various conditions are shown in Figure 6. The top and bottom rows correspond to samples exposed to 8.2 and 15 megawatts per square meter heat flux for 60 seconds, respectively. For the former, the HfB$_2$-20-percent-volume-SiC (HS20) sample largely retained its shape and size, while a 100-micrometer-thick white coating developed on the surface that detached from an intermediate oxide layer containing voids or cracks. The HS20–2-weight-percent-La$_2$O$_3$ and HfB$_2$ samples retained their original shape and size, with the former developing a thin oxide coating and the latter a thicker coating on exposed surfaces. For the 15.2 megawatt per square meter-tested samples, the HfB$_2$ and HS20–2-weight-percent-La$_2$O$_3$ largely retained their integrity, especially when compared with the significant bubbles and craters observed on the HS20.

Typical surface microstructures of HS20-LaB$_6$ laser-heated for 60 seconds at 15.2 megawatt per square
Figure 7 Surface morphology of HS20–5-weight-percent-LaB₆ sample laser tested for 60 seconds at 15.2 megawatts per square meter, showing (a) dense surface, (b) eutectic microstructure, (c) dendritic structure, (d) submicrometer-sized and nanometer-sized particles, (e) nanoparticles and (f) nanofibers.

Figure 8 Photographs of UHTCs tested at 44 megawatts per square meter heat flux for 1 second using a defocused ytterbium laser.

To evaluate the performance of UHTC samples at even higher temperatures, laser testing was performed using an extremely high heat flux of 44 megawatts per square meter, for 1 to 5 seconds, again in air. Figure 8 shows photographs after testing for 1 second. Except for the HfB₂–2-weight-percent-La₂O₃ sample, all others were severely damaged, losing their shape and uniformity. The surfaces of all samples were black or dark grey in color. This might be attributed either to the solidification of HfB₂ on the top surface (although why it should have survived being oxidized is unknown) or to the formation of a black oxide. The black layer can form as result of the formation of a nonstoichiometric oxide, particularly in the zirconium and hafnium oxide systems. The advantage of adding TaSi₂ to UHTCs to form a black oxide to increase the emissivity is well-known.

Figure 9 shows SEM cross sections of samples laser tested at 44 megawatts per square meter for 1 second. These show that the HfB₂–2-weight-percent-La₂O₃ sample appeared to perform better than the others, with a thin (approximately 100 micrometers) oxide coating forming and an absence of other defects, such as pores and cracks.

At heat fluxes up to 15.2 megawatts per square meter, HfB₂-based ceramics perform better in terms of retaining structural integrity compared with ZrB₂-based ceramics. In addition, SiC reinforcement and lanthanum-based additives improve the performance under these conditions.
help to form intermediate layers with low oxygen permeability that appear to slow down the oxidation process. However, at very high heat fluxes, such as 44 megawatts per square meter, microstructural observation indicates that the SiC reinforcement and La₂O₃ additive do not help because they yield gases that further deteriorate the performance of the sample.

HfB₂ and SiC powders in a study that also investigated the high-temperature performance of SiC-fiber-reinforced ZrB₂–20-volume-percent-SiC composites (ZSS) at temperatures up to 1927°C for up to 100 minutes. The composites were prepared by a filament winding and slurry impregnation technique followed by hot pressing, and results were compared with ZrB₂–20-volume-percent-SiC and ZrB₂–14-volume-percent-SiC–30-volume-percent-carbon compositions containing no fiber reinforcement. Tang et al. prepared various carbon-fiber UHTC powder composites using 2D carbon-fiber preforms and aqueous UHTC powder slurries based on ZrB₂, SiC, HfC and TaC, which were infiltrated into the preforms using a pressure-assisted technique. The impregnated composites were further densified by pyrocarbon deposition at 1000°C to 1100°C using a chemical vapor infiltration process. The UHTC powders concentrated in a 2-millimeter-deep layer at the surface. High-temperature oxidation performance of the composites was measured using an oxyacetylene flame, and the ZrB₂-based system displayed the best resistance after 30 seconds at 3920 kilowatts per square meter and 2700°C. Addition of SiC was found to improve the oxidation resistance only under the less demanding conditions of 2380 kilowatts per square meter and 1800°C, albeit they were able to survive for 300 seconds.

Hybrid UHTC composites were fabricated at Loughborough using 30-millimeter-diameter x 20-millimeter-thick 2.5 D carbon-fiber preforms that contained 23-volume-percent fibers provided by Surface Transforms Ltd. in the UK. UHTC powder/phenolic resin/acetone slurries were prepared by ball milling using alumina milling media for 48 hours. The UHTC compositions included ZrB₂, ZrB₂–20-volume-percent-SiC, ZrB₂–20-volume-percent-SiC–10-volume-percent-LaBₓ, HfB₂ and HfC while the phenolic resin had a carbon content of 45.5 percent. The carbon-fiber preforms were separately impregnated with the slurries using either a squeeze or vacuum impregnation technique and then dried in an

UHTC–carbon-fiber composites and hybrid composites

Work at Loughborough is focused on impregnating carbon-fiber-based systems with UHTC powders. Carbon fibers are attractive because they can be formed readily into a preform of almost any configuration by winding, weaving, knitting, braiding or wrapping over a suitably formed mandrel. There have been a number of attempts by researchers to prepare carbon-fiber-based composites for ultra-high-temperature applications. For example, Levine et al. examined composites containing

Figure 9 Comparison of cross-section microstructures of four samples laser tested at 44 megawatts per square meter for 1 second using a defocused ytterbium laser.

Figure 10 Powder distribution across the cross section of a vacuum-impregnated carbon-fiber–HfB₂ composite.

Figure 11 3D micro-CT cross section of a UHTC composite prepared by squeeze impregnation showing powder penetration. The dimensions of the composite were 30-millimeter-diameter x 18-millimeter-thick. The dark area at the bottom is a hole drilled into the impregnated preform to allow a subsequent CVI stage to deposit carbon.

Figure 12 EDS mapping of carbon (red), silicon (green) and zirconium (blue) showing the distribution of UHTC powder within a carbon-fiber–ZrB₂–20-volume-percent SiC composite.

UHTC composites for hypersonic applications
oven at 75°C for 4 hours followed by curing at 125°C to 150°C for 2 hours. This cycle was repeated three times to maximize the amount of UHTC powder within each composite. After the third impregnation and curing, the samples were pyrolyzed at 900°C under flowing argon (99.998 percent pure) and further densified using chemical vapor infiltration of carbon. Benchmark carbon/carbon composites also were prepared using chemical vapor infiltration of the preforms without UHTC powder impregnation.

The resulting composites were examined using a range of techniques, including SEM, EDX and micro-CT to determine the depth of impregnation, powder distribution and the efficiency of powder mixing. The bulk density of the composites was measured using geometrical methods. The powder distribution across the cross section of a carbon-fiber–HfB₂ UHTC composite (Figure 10) reveals that the preforms were fully impregnated from top to bottom, although the composites were found to be slightly denser near the circumference because of the UHTC powder slurry impregnation from the sides of the preform. Micro-CT analysis was performed on the impregnated preforms to determine the depth of penetration. A representative 3D cross-sectional image is given in Figure 11 of one of the carbon-fiber–ZrB₂ UHTC composite. The depth of impregnation was found to be approximately 7 millimeters from the top surface, which is greater than the 2–3 millimeter penetration achieved by Tang et al.¹⁸ Powder distribution results obtained using EDS analysis on the cross section of carbon-fiber–ZrB₂–2SiC composites revealed uniform mixing and distribution of the powder within the composite (Figure 12). In general, it was found that the vacuum impregnation technique yielded superior results to the squeeze impregnation route.

High-temperature performance of the composites was determined using an oxyacetylene torch test facility constructed at Loughborough University (see sidebar). Initially, the aim was simply to rank the UHTC composites according to their oxidation performance. However, subsequently the test was used to aid the development of superior composites.

The images of the preliminary composites after 60 seconds oxyacetylene torch testing are compared in Figure 13. As expected, the carbon–carbon composite was damaged over a wide area, approximately 20-millimeter-diameter damage zone, while the performances of the carbon-fiber–ZrB₂ and carbon-fiber–ZrB₂–20-volume-percent-SiC composites were similar to each other, with the damage being mainly focused over an approximately 5-millimeter-diameter area. There was more erosion damage for the LaB₆ composite compared with the other ZrB₂-containing composites. The high-temperature flame penetrated the impregnated layer and attacked the carbon–carbon layer below. The addition of LaB₆ was intended to improve the oxidation resistance through the formation of La₂Zr₂O₇ pyrochlore structures at higher temperatures.¹⁰

Carbon-fiber–HfB₂ and carbon-fiber–HfC composites offered the best erosion protection, even though the UHTC powders were oxidized to HfO₂ as expected. The presence of molten phases can be seen on all of the impregnated composites, independently confirming the temperature of the flame. The amount of melting was much lower in the HfB₂-based composites than in those based on ZrB₂ because of the higher melting temperature of HfO₂ (approximately 2800°C).¹¹ The oxide layer formed on the carbon-fiber–HfC composite was less adherent than those on the other composites and fell off after the test, possibly because of the

---

Figure 13 Comparison of carbon–carbon (CC) and carbon-fiber (Cf-) UHTC composites after 60 seconds oxyacetylene testing. Diameter of the composites was 30 millimeters.

Figure 14 TEM images of (a) HfC particles and (b) HfB₂ particles synthesized using a sol–gel approach.

Figure 15 Carbon-fiber–HfB₂ composites after oxyacetylene torch testing after (a) 60 seconds showing negligible erosion and (b) 140 seconds showing less than 2-millimeter erosion.
of withstanding the difficult conditions for hypersonic space travel. UHTC research at Imperial College and Loughborough University has demonstrated the preparation of fully dense UHTC monoliths for a range of various compositions and of carbon-fiber–UHTC composites with significant powder impregnation. Key aspects include the following:

- The microstructures formed at UHTs are complex and heterogeneous.
- Thermal diffusivities in composite systems tend to merge to similar values at UHTs, whereas CTEs remain comparatively large.
- At high heat fluxes (approximately 44 megawatts per square meter) SiC and lanthanum-based additives lead to gas evolution and deterioration in laser test performance.
- The oxyacetylene torch test is useful for rapid screening of UHTC composites based on UHT oxidation performance.
- Carbon-fiber–HfC composites showed the best oxidation resistance above 2500°C.
- Nanocrystalline HfB2 and HfC powders have been synthesised using sol–gel routes and will be impregnated into carbon-fiber preforms.

Future work will be focused primarily on joining UHTC monoliths with the UHTC composites to take maximum advantage of the two approaches. The goal is to prepare prototype leading edge components and plates for subsequent in-flight testing.
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Oxyacetylene torch testing of materials

Many testing techniques are available for evaluating high-temperature performance of UHTC materials, including static furnace oxidation testing, laser ablation testing, oxyacetylene torch testing, plasma wind tunnel testing, strip heater testing, arc jet testing and scramjet testing.* None of these test methods alone can reproduce all the conditions experienced during hypersonic flight. Oxyacetylene torch testing, however, is a quick and inexpensive technique to evaluate the high-temperature performance of materials.

At Loughborough University in the UK, an oxyacetylene-torch-testing facility has been built that is equipped to perform high-temperature oxidation testing of UHTC materials at temperatures up to about 2800°C, while recording the temperature and temperature distribution during testing (Figure 1). Heating rates of up to approximately 500°C per second can be achieved.

An oxygen-rich flame was chosen for testing the composites, and this was achieved by supplying stoichiometrically excess oxygen: acetylene to oxygen ratio is 1:1.35. The UHTC samples are fixed in a water-cooled graphite holder with three graphite bolts. To date, tests have been conducted for 30 seconds up to 360 seconds, although tests of shorter or longer duration are possible. During the test, the temperature is measured using a two-color pyrometer (Marathon MR1SCSF, Raytek GmbH, Berlin, Germany), and the temperature distribution is measured using an infrared thermal-imaging camera (Thermovision A40 FLIR Systems AB, Danderyd, Sweden). The two-color pyrometer is capable of recording temperatures up to 3000°C, and the thermal imaging camera can record temperatures up to 1500°C. By adding an IR neutral density filter, the camera can record temperatures up to 2800°C. The back surface temperature of the samples is recorded using a K-type thermocouple connected to a data logger.

Figure 2 shows an image taken during the oxyacetylene torch testing of one of the UHTC composites along with another image taken immediately after extinguishing the flame. It is a very aggressive test because it involves high temperature, high-velocity gas flow and oxidizing gases.

The temperature distribution recorded during a 6-minute testing of a UHTC composite is shown in Figure 3. The figure shows a very high temperature gradient, and the composite samples survived without much damage.

Planned improvements include increasing the temperature capability and uniformity of the torch by using a multiflame nozzle, adding a computer controller to automate the whole testing process, including sample movement, and the ability to provide rapid cooling via applying a jet of cold nitrogen gas.

*References covering each of these techniques are available in the electronic edition of the Bulletin, which can be viewed at www.ceramics.org>The Bulletin.
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